## **EXHIBIT B**

(Deposition Transcript of Charles Moore)

1	Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN	2	
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION	3	COHEN WEISS AND SIMON LLP
4		4	By: Thomas N. Ciantra
5	In re Chapter 9	5	330 West 42nd Street
6	CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, Case No. 13-53846	6	New York, NY 10036.6979
7	Debtor. Hon. Steven W. Rhodes	7	212.356.0216
8	1	8	Appearing on behalf of UAW
9		9	appearing on behalf of an
10	DEPONENT: CHARLES M. MOORE	10	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
11	DATE: Wednesday, September 18, 2013	11	By: Sharon L. Levine
12	TIME: 10:02 a.m.	12	•
13	LOCATION: MILLER CANFIELD PADDOCK & STONE PLC		65 Livingston Avenue
		13	Roseland, NJ 07068
14	150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500	14	973.597.2374
15	Detroit, Michigan	15	-and-
16	REPORTER: Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CSR-3267	16	Michael L. Artz (appearing telephonically)
17		17	Appearing on behalf of AFSCME
18		18	
19		19	CLARK HILL PLC
20		20	By: Andrew Mast
21		21	Ed Hammond (appearing telephonically)
22		22	500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500
23		23	Detroit, MI 48226
24		24	313.965.8384
25		25	Appearing on behalf of Retirement Systems
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2		2	
3	JONES DAY	3	WILLIAMS WILLIAMS RATINER & PLUNKETT PC
4	By: Evan Miller	4	By: Ernest J. Essad, Jr.
5	51 Louisiana Avenue, NW	5	380 N Old Woodward Ave Ste 300
6	Washington, D.C. 20001.2113	6	Birmingham, MI 48009
7	202.879.3939	7	248.642.0333
8	-and-	8	Appearing on behalf of FGIC
9	MILLER CANFIELD PADDOCK AND STONE PLC	9	
10	By: Jonathan S. Green	10	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
11	150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500	11	By: Bianca M. Forde (appearing telephonically)
12	Detroit, MI 48226.4415	12	200 Park Avenue
13	313.496.7997	13	New York, NY 10166.4193
14	Appearing on behalf of the Debtor	14	212.294.4733
15	-ppouring on senate of one subject	15	Appearing on behalf of Assured Guaranty Municipal
16	DENTONS US LLP	16	Corp.
17	By: Arthur H. Ruegger	17	corp.
18	1221 Avenue of the Americas		STROBL & SHARP
	New York, NY 10020.1089	18	By: Meredith Cox (appearing telephonically)
19	212.768.6881	19	
20		20	300 East Long Lake Road, Suite 200
21	Appearing on behalf of Retirees Committee	21	Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304
22		22	248.540.2300
		23	Appearing on behalf of Retired Detroit Police Members
23			
		24 25	Association



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

			01 01
1 A	Page 61 . The rate of payouts is another area where the	1	Page 63 letters and reports and we'll take those up with the
2	actuaries make assumptions as to what benefits will be	2	Milliman folks, but I'm trying now to focus on the 7.0
3	paid in what periods and to the extent that those are	3	figure. That was a figure selected by the City for
4	underestimated, that can impact the funded position as	4	
5	well. Tying into previous assumptions that I had	5	MR. MILLER: Object to form.
6	indicated.	6	
	. So is it is it your position that the City views	7	Q. And that was not the specific figure or a specific
8	the actuarial payout assumptions as understating	8	figure recommended by Milliman or any other actuary;
9	unfunded liabilities?	9	correct?
10	MR. MILLER: Object to form. Go ahead.	10	A. I can't speak to any other actuary, but going back to
	A. As an example, Mr. Ruegger, the actuarial valuation	11	
12	assumes certain payouts. The actual payouts in the	12	
13	most recent completed year of plan assets were	13	
14	substantially higher than what was anticipated prior	14	
15	to that valuation being done and so at a minimum that	15	
16	would indicate that there were more assets that were	16	
17	paid out than what was assumed by the actuary.	17	
	2. Other than the assumptions and methods you've	18	
19	identified, are there any other assumptions and	19	
			, , , ,
20	methods that to your understanding the City views as	20	
21	understating the systems' unfunded liabilities?	21	The second secon
	The City and most importantly its actuary has not	22	
23	completed its analysis on the unfunded position. The	23	The state of the s
24	City is trying to undertake a process to actually	24	0 , 1 0 1
25	develop a more concrete valuation model on its own so	25	understanding smoothing is a common calculation used
1	Page 62	1	Page 64
1	it's been relying on the valuation model of the	1	by actuaries related to pension projections; correct?
2	pension systems' actuary. As such we have focused on		A. I would clarify your question from the standpoint of
3	a few items here, but until the City completes its	3	typically pension boards will decide on the policies
4	analysis and completes its own actuarial valuation,	4	and then actuaries will perform calculations based on
5	neither the City nor its actuary nor I would be able	5	the policies that a board will decide to use.
6	to say what all the assumptions are that could be used		Q. But smoothing is a common practice for actuaries; is
7	to either overstate or understate the funded position.	7	it not?
	. Very well.	8	MR. MILLER: Object to form.
9	Let's turn to one of the assumptions that		A. Based on my experience, yes, there is a number of
10	you address in your declaration and specifically in	10	properties to the second secon
11	paragraph 11 you talk about the projected net rate of	11	,
12	return. The 7.0 percent or 7.25 percent figure, do	12	-
13	you see that in paragraph 11?	13	
	A. Yes, sir.	14	
	2. Those were not figures that were recommended by a	15	
16	particular actuary; were they?	16	
	The 7 percent is actually higher than the rate that	17	
18	Milliman, the City's actuary, had originally put	18	
19	forward, which in its view would result the rate at	19	
20	which there was a fifty-fifty chance of achieving that	20	
21	rate.	21	
22	MR. RUEGGER: All right. I'm going to move	22	-
23	to strike, because with all respect that was not	23	
24	responsive to my guestian Mr. Maero	24	MD MILLED: Object to form



responsive to my question, Mr. Moore. 25 Q. I understand Milliman has prepared a variety of

24

800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

MR. MILLER: Object to form.

25 A. Well, I can tell you, Mr. Ruegger, later this year new

24

- Page 149
  City of Detroit to put into that pension fund as of Page 151 1 1 would be -- that in the City's view must occur; 2 July of next year; correct? July of this year; 2 correct? 3 correct? 3 A. Correct. 4 MR. MILLER: Object to form. Go ahead. 4 Q. Has there been a specification of those level of cuts 5 A. Obviously there are a number of potential sources of 5 that the City contends must occur? 6 cash that are still uncertain. 6 MR. MILLER: Object to form. 7 Q. Okay. 7 Q. I mean, have you put a dollar amount on it? 8 A. But to answer your question about why this was done --A. No, and our analysis of this continues. Right now we 9 Q. Yeah. 9 still don't know what assets could be available to put 10 A. -- there were a number of comments that were made 10 towards the pensions. We still have not had the type 11 indicating that the plan is only \$830 million 11 of dialogue that we would like to have related to the 12 underfunded or some people refer to the June 30th of 12 calculation of the unfunded amount, so because of 13 2011 number and our point on this was to indicate that 13 those two uncertainties among others we don't know 14 even if the plan was topped off from an actuarial 14 what cuts, if any, there may need to be. 15 standpoint, meaning that it was funded at 100 percent, 15. Q. Well, doesn't it say there must be significant cuts? 16 if you roll forward using certain assumptions, what 16 Am I -- are you saying that there's some -- that the 17 potentially happens to plan assets. 17 City's position may be that there are no cuts that are 18 Q. I see. But one of the assumptions is there's going to 18 necessary in accrued vested pension amounts? 19 be no further contributions into the plan after that 19 MR. MILLER: Object to form. 20 lump sum; correct? 20 A. We don't know. That's where we want to continue to 21 A. Yes. And what that is getting at is there's no future 21 engage in discussions and negotiations with the 22 accrual of benefits. So you fully fund it based on 22 parties, but depending on what the unfunded amount is 23 the benefits that have been accrued --23 and what assets may be available for those claims, it 24 Q. Okay. 24 certainly is possible. 25 A. -- which if that was the case, if it was fully funded 25 Q. So maybe that should have been worded there may be Page 150 Page 152 1 from an actuarial standpoint and no new benefits 1 significant cuts rather than must? 2 accrued and you experience a 7.9 percent assumed rate 2 MR. MILLER: Object to form. It asks for 3 of return -- or actual rate of return, what would 3 speculation. 4 4 happen to the plan assets.
- 5 Q. Let me ask you if you have Moore Exhibit 3 there, I 6 want to ask you a few questions with regard to that.

Let me direct you to page 95 of that presentation. Hang on for a second. I'm sorry, I was in the wrong place. Page 109. Looking at the heading 10 there, claims for unfunded pension liabilities.

11 A. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

- 12 Q. Were you involved at all in the drafting of that part 13 of this presentation?
- 14 A. I don't think I wrote that, but I was aware of this 15 language.
- 16 Q. Okay. How about the specifically the language of the
- 17 third bullet point? Because the amounts realized on
- 18 the underfunding claims would be substantially less
- 19 than the underfunding amount, there must be
- 20 significant cuts in accrued vested pension amounts for
- 21 both active and currently retired persons. Were you
- 22 involved in formulating that?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And has the City -- I noticed in this presentation
- 25 there's no quantification of what -- of the cuts that

MR. CIANTRA: I don't think it asks for speculation at all.

MR. MILLER: It asks for speculation, but you can go ahead and speculate.

8 A. Possibly.

5

6

7

- 9 Q. But in any event, there's been no specific 10 quantification of any level of cuts to accrued vested 11 pension amounts that the City has formulated in this 12 restructuring process to date; isn't that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And I would assume from that that you have not 15 provided the unions or any of the retiree groups with 16 any -- any quantification of cuts that the City would 17 like to see made?
- 18 A. No, we have met with parties regarding the pension 19 multiple times and we've laid out a process that we 20 propose to follow; however, that process really has 21 not been started unfortunately.
- 22 Q. Are you aware of provisions of the Michigan State 23 Constitution that affect the ability of the State or
- 24 its municipalities to alter accrued pension benefits?
- 25 A. Generally, ves.



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

1	State of Michigan)			
2	County of Genesee)			
3	Certificate of Notary Public			
4	I certify that this transcript is a complete, true and			
5	correct record of the testimony of the witness held in this			
6	case.			
7	I also certify that prior to taking this deposition,			
8	the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth.			
9	I further certify that I am not a relative or an			
10	employee of or an attorney for a party; and that I am not			
11	financially interested, directly or indirectly, in the			
12	matter.			
13	WITNESS my hand this 20th day of September,			
14	2013.			
15				
16	01111			
17	geaneth my Jallon			
18	Jeanette M. Fallon, CRR/RMR/CLR/CSR-3267			
19	Certified Realtime Reporter			
20	Registered Merit Reporter			
21	Certified LiveNote Reporter			
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter			
23	Notary Public, Genesee, Michigan			
24	Acting in Oakland County, Michigan			
25	My Commission Expires: 9-19-18			

